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#### Abstract

The synthesis and molecular structure of $\left[2,6-\left(t-\mathrm{BuOCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{OH})\right]_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathbf{2})$, the only second example of a monomeric tetraorganodistannoxane, is reported. Compound $\mathbf{2}$ crystallizes in two polymorphic modifications ( $\mathbf{2 a}$, monoclinic; 2b, triclinic). The two modifications especially differ in their intramolecular $\mathrm{Sn} \cdots$ Odistances which fall in the range between $2.789(3)$ and $3.194(5) \AA$. It appears, that both the latter and intramolecular $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}$ bridges $(2.882(4)-2.907(6) \AA)$ contribute to the stability of the monomeric structures. © 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
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Tetraorganodistannoxanes $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{XSnOSnYR} \mathrm{R}_{2}(\mathrm{R}=$ alkyl, aryl; $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}=$ halogen, $\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{OR}, \mathrm{OSiMe}_{3}, \mathrm{OCOR}, \mathrm{OP}(\mathrm{S})$ $\left.(\mathrm{OR})_{2}, \mathrm{NO}_{3}, \mathrm{~N}_{3}, \mathrm{NCS}, \mathrm{OReO}_{3}\right)($ Chart 1 ) are a well-established class of organotin compounds and have been investigated comprehensively over many years [1-3].

The reason for this ongoing interest are (i) the structural particularities such as dimerization in both solution and solid state leading to so-called ladder-type arrangements with five- and/or six-coordinate tin atoms and (ii) the application of these compounds as catalysts for a variety of organic reactions [4].

Noteworthy, among the many structurally characterized tetraorganodistannoxanes [2] there is, to the best of our knowledge, only one example of such a compound that is

[^0]monomeric in solution and in the solid state, namely $\left[\left\{\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{Sn}\right]_{2} \mathrm{O}$, hereafter referred to as compound $\mathbf{1}$ [5]. This is of some interest as it, in addition to other arguments, supports the idea that the catalytic activity of tetraorganodistannoxanes might indeed be associated with monomeric rather than dimeric species [6].

Compound $\mathbf{1}$ was obtained by hydrolysis of the related diorganotin oxide $\left[\left\{\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right\}_{2} \mathrm{SnO}\right]_{2}$, which in turn was obtained by oxidation with $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{NO}$ of the diorganostannylene $\left\{\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right\}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}[5]$.

In course of ongoing studies on intramolecularly $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O}-$ coordinated organoelement compounds $[7,8]$ including heavy carbene analogues [9] we reacted the organolithium derivative $2,6-\left(t-\mathrm{BuOCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{Li}$ with $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}$ (Scheme 1).

From the crude reaction mixture which had been exposed to open atmosphere followed by addition of water the tetraorganodistannoxane $\left[\left\{2,6-\left(t-\mathrm{BuOCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right\}_{2^{-}}\right.$ $\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{OH})]_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2$, was isolated as colorless crystalline material that is readily soluble in common organic solvents such as chloroform, benzene and thf [10]. Upon crystallization from different solvents, two polymorphic forms of compound 2


Chart 1.


Scheme 1. Synthesis of the intramolecularly coordinated tetraorganodistannoxane 2.
were obtained, that are 2a (monoclinic space group P2(1)/ $c$, single crystals were grown from benzene solution of 2) and 2b (triclinic space group $P \overline{1}$, obtained from hexane solution of 2) [11] (see Table 1).

The molecular structures of $\mathbf{2 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 b}$ are shown in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively, and selected geometrical parameters are collected in Table 2.

Both tin atoms exhibit a distorted trigonal bipyramidal configuration with $\mathrm{C}(1), \mathrm{C}(21), \mathrm{O}(1)$ (at Sn 1$)$ and $\mathrm{C}(41)$, $\mathrm{C}(61), \mathrm{O}(2)$ (at Sn 2 ) occupying the equatorial, and $\mathrm{O}(3)$, $\mathrm{O}(31)($ at Sn 1$)$ and $\mathrm{O}(3), \mathrm{O}(71)$ (at Sn 2 ) occupying the axial positions. For 2a and 2b, the intramolecular $\operatorname{Sn}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}(31)$ distances of $2.789(3)$ and $2.795(4) \AA$, respectively, are almost identical whereas the $\operatorname{Sn}(2) \cdots \mathrm{O}(71)$ distances of 2.839 (3) and 3.194(5) $\AA$ are longer and differ considerably. The three former distances are similiar to the $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{O}$ distances found for $\left\{2,6-\left(t-\mathrm{BuOCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right\} \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}($ mimt $)$ $($ mimt $=1$-methylimidazole-2-thiolate, $\quad \mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{O} \quad 2.7875(16)$ A) [12] and (Z)-3,4,4-trimethyl-1-(triphenylstannyl)-1-pen-ten-3-ol, $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnCH}=\mathrm{CHC}(\mathrm{OH})(\mathrm{Me})(t-\mathrm{Bu}), \mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{O} 2.772(5)$ $\AA$ [13] whereas the latter is even longer than the $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{O}$ distance found in $\left\{2,6-\left(\mathrm{MeOCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right\} \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{Sn} \quad(\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{O}$ $2.966(1) \AA)[14]$. The $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1) / \mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ distances fall in the range between $1.949(4)(\mathbf{2 b})$ and $1.983(5) \AA(\mathbf{2 b})$ which is shorter than the corresponding $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{O}$ distance in the parent compound $\left[\left\{\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{Sn}\right]_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ (1) ( $2.032(7) \AA$ ) [5] and the $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{O}$ distance to the terminal hydroxy-group in $\left[\left\{\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{Sn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]_{2} \quad(2.032(4)\right.$ A) [15]. The $\operatorname{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3) / \operatorname{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ distances fall in the narrow range between $1.979(4)(\mathbf{2 b})$ and $1.953(4) \AA(\mathbf{2 b})$ which is close to the corresponding distance in $\left[\left\{\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)_{2}\right.\right.$. $\left.\mathrm{CH}\}_{2}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{Sn}\right]_{2} \mathrm{O}(1)(1.956(4) \AA)$. The $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Sn}(2)$ angles of $134.42(14) / 134.4(2)^{\circ}$ are much bigger than the corresponding angle in compound 1 (125.0(5) [5]. Most remarkably, there are intramolecular $\mathrm{O}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)$ distances

Table 1
Crystal data and the structure refinement details for compounds $\mathbf{2 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 b}$

| Compound | 2a | 2b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{64} \mathrm{H}_{102} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{Sn}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{64} \mathrm{H}_{102} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{Sn}_{2}$ |
| Formula weight | 1284.84 | 1284.84 |
| Temperature (K) | 173(1) | 173(1) |
| Wavelength ( A ) | 0.71073 | 0.71073 |
| Crystal system, space group | Monoclinic, $P 2{ }_{1} / c$ | Triclinic, $P \overline{1}$ |
| Unit cell dimensions |  |  |
| $a(\mathrm{~A})$ | 13.2748(7) | 13.427(4) |
| $b($ (̊) | 20.625(2) | 14.481(5) |
| $c(\AA)$ | 25.062(2) | 18.141(7) |
| $\alpha$ |  | 82.90(1) |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 104.810(4) | 70.38(3) |
| $\gamma$ |  | 88.50(2) |
| Volume ( $\AA^{3}$ ) | 6633.9(8) | 3297(2) |
| Z | 4 | 2 |
| Density (calculated) ( $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) | 1.286 | 1.294 |
| Absorption coefficient ( $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ ) | 0.807 | 0.812 |
| $F(000)$ | 2696 | 1398 |
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.15 \times 0.15 \times 0.13$ | $0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$ |
| Theta range for data collection $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 3.08 to 25.35 | 2.92 to 25.28 . |
| Index ranges | $-15<=h<=15$ | $-16<=\mathrm{h}<=16$ |
|  | -30< $=h<29$ | $-19<=l<=21$ |
|  | 58345/11919 | 32493/11209 |
|  | [ $\left.R_{\text {int }}=0.082\right]$ | [ $\left.R_{\text {int }}=0.076\right]$ |
|  | 4476 | 3873 |
| Completeness to $2 \theta=27.48$ | 98.1\% | 93.6\% |
| Absorption correction | None | None |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix | Full-matrix |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { least-squares on } F^{2} \\ & 11919 / 0 / 723 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { least-squares on } F^{2} \\ & 11209 / 0 / 699 \end{aligned}$ |
| Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$ | 0.524 | 0.775 |
| Final $R$ indices [ $I>2 \sigma(I)$ ] | $\begin{aligned} & R_{1}=0.0366 \\ & w R_{2}=0.0555 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R_{1}=0.053 \\ & w R_{2}=0.086 \end{aligned}$ |
| $R$ indices (all data) | $\begin{aligned} & R_{1}=0.1408 \\ & w R_{2}=0.0679 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R_{1}=0.1757 \\ & w R_{2}=0.0968 \end{aligned}$ |
| Maximum shift/esd | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| Largest differences in peak and hole (e $\AA^{-3}$ ) | 0.456 and -0.357 | 0.633 and -0.488 |

of 2.882(4) (2a) and 2.891(6) $\AA$ (2b), and $\mathrm{O}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}(11)(\mathbf{2 a})$ and $\mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{O}(51)(\mathbf{2 b})$ distances of $2.891(4)$ and $2.907(6) \AA$ which hint at weak $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bridges.

In solution, compound $\mathbf{2}$ undergoes the equilibrium that is shown in the following equation:


Thus, the ${ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}$ NMR spectrum of a solution of compound 2 in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ showed two resonances at $\delta-152$ (signal $a$, integral 4) and $\delta-174$ (signal $b$, integral 1). Signal $a$ is tentatively assigned to the molecular diorganotin oxide 3 with $n$ being likely 2 or 3 whereas signal $b$ belongs to compound 2. This interpretation is supported by the observation that


Fig. 1. General view (shelxtl) of 2a showing 30\% displacement ellipsoids and the atom numbering scheme. The hydrogen atoms (except OH ) are omitted for clarity.


Fig. 2. General view (shelxtl) of $\mathbf{2 b}$ showing $30 \%$ displacement ellipsoids and the atom numbering scheme. The hydrogen atoms (except OH ) are omitted for clarity.
addition of a droplet of water to the solution shifted the equilibrium to the left, i.e. the integral of signal $b$ increased. Attempts at isolating compound $\mathbf{3}$ failed.

In conclusion, it appears that not only kinetic stabilization by bulky substituents such as in compound $\mathbf{1}$ but also intramolecular hydrogen bridges between SnOH -functions and donor atoms such as oxygen being part of the organic substituent R allows isolation of monomeric tetraorganodistannoxanes, like the title compound. This concept was already exploited for the synthesis of the diorganotin dihydroxide $\mathrm{Fc}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\left(\mathrm{Fc}=\mathrm{CpFeC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)$ [16] and might even work for the stabilization of an organotin trihydroxide.

Table 2
Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for compounds $2 \mathbf{2 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 b}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 a}(P 2(1) / c)$ | $\mathbf{2 b}(P \overline{1})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.976(3)$ | $1.949(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $1.963(3)$ | $1.979(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(31)$ | $2.789(3)$ | $2.795(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $2.144(4)$ | $2.127(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $2.151(4)$ | $2.123(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.954(3)$ | $1.983(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $1.974(3)$ | $1.953(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{O}(71)$ | $2.839(3)$ | $3.194(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{C}(41)$ | $2.146(4)$ | $2.145(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{C}(61)$ | $2.150(4)$ | $2.082(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)$ | $2.882(4)$ | $2.891(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}(11)$ | $2.891(4)$ | $2.907(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{O}(51)$ |  | $126.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $113.8(3)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $99.5(2)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $127.3(2)$ | $84.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(31)$ | $114.2(2)$ | $105.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $100.4(1)$ | $107.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $88.7(1)$ | $69.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(31)$ | $105.1(2)$ | $175.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(31)$ | $106.8(1)$ | $134.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Sn}(2)$ | $67.3(1)$ | $126.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{C}(61)$ | $170.9(1)$ | $110.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $134.4(1)$ | $103.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $122.9(2)$ | $103.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{O}(71)$ | $116.1(2)$ | $110.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(61)-\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $100.7(1)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(61)-\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $87.3(1)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(61)-\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{O}(71)$ | $106.8(2)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{O}(71)$ | $106.7(1)$ |  |
|  | $172.0(1)$ |  |
|  |  |  |

## 1. Supplementary material

CCDC 636263 and 636264 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for 2a and 2b. These data can be obtained free of charge via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/ conts/retrieving.html, or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: (+44) 1223-336-033; or e-mail: deposit@ ccdc.cam.ac.uk.
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